

ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
LAWYERS
6605 GRAND MONTECITO PARKWAY
SUITE 200
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89149
(702) 384-7000

1 **ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS**
2 KURT R. BONDS, ESQ.
3 Nevada Bar No. 6228
4 DAVID M. SEXTON, ESQ.
5 Nevada Bar No. 14951
6 6605 Grand Montecito Parkway, Suite 200
7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89149
8 (702) 384-7000
9 efile@alversontaylor.com
10 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

13 EMILY SEARS, NAJOME COLON a/k/a GIA
14 MACOOL, RACHEL BERNSTEIN a/k/a
15 RACHEL KOREN, LUCY PINDER, and
16 MARIANA DAVALOS

17 Plaintiffs,

18 vs.

19 RUSSELL ROAD FOOD AND BEVERAGE,
20 LLC d/b/a CRAZY HORSE III
21 GENTLEMEN'S CLUB; and SN
22 INVESTMENT PROPERTIES, LLC d/b/a
23 CRAZY HORSE III GENTLEMEN'S CLUB

24 Defendants.

Case No. 2:19-cv-01091-APG-NJK

25 **STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR**
26 **EXTENSION STAY**

27 **(FIFTH REQUEST)**

28 COMES NOW Plaintiffs, EMILY SEARS, NAJOME COLON aka GIA MACOOL,
RACHEL BERNSTEIN a/k/a RACHEL KOREN, LUCY PINDER¹ and MARIANA
DAVALOS, ("Plaintiffs") by and through their counsel of record, KURT R. BONDS, ESQ. and
DAVID M. SEXTON, ESQ. of ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS and Defendants,
RUSSELL ROAD FOOD AND BEVERAGE, LLC d/b/a CRAZY HORSE III GENTLEMEN'S
CLUB ("Russell Road") and SN INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC ("SN Investment")

¹ Lucy Pinder was recently dismissed from this matter. [Dkt. 46].

ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
LAWYERS
6605 GRAND MONTECITO PARKWAY
SUITE 200
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89149
(702) 384-7000

1 (collectively with Russell Road, “Defendants”) by and through its counsel of record, JEFFERY
2 A. BENDAVID, ESQ. and STEPHANIE J. SMITH, ESQ. of BENDAVID LAW, and hereby
3 submit this request for an additional extension of the stay previously ordered by this Court due to
4 the ongoing concerns and restrictions, and now reversals to some phases of reopening from the
5 COVID-19 pandemic, particularly in light of the extremely high virus transmission rate presently
6 occurring in Nevada.² Additionally, Governor Sisolak has recently halted further reopening of
7 Nevada.
8

9 The Parties originally and have previously submitted a joint motion for stay due to the
10 developing crisis surrounding the pandemic from COVID-19, and then a subsequent stipulation
11 to extend the stay for 30 days to determine what new developments may occur in response to the
12 ongoing pandemic. [Dkts. 41, 44, 48]. Based on the good cause presented in the previous motion,
13 stipulations, and the stay, including but not limited to the Parties’ mutual inability to
14 meaningfully participate in the discovery process due to the multiple restrictions, closures, and
15 various other directives by the Governor of Nevada, the Center for Disease Control, and the
16 Federal Government, this Court granted the Parties’ request for a stay. [Dkt. 42, 44, 48]. The
17 Parties are seeking an extension of the stay previously granted by this Court. Although Nevada
18 has commenced some phased processes to return to conducting normal business, there are still
19 numerous restrictions in place, in particular ones that impact the Defendant in this matter.
20 Additionally, neighboring jurisdictions have also halted or reversed some of their re-openings.
21 Plaintiffs still reside in other jurisdictions (including international) and there are still varying
22 levels of restrictions, stay-at-home orders, and other directives advising against any non-essential
23 travel. Additionally, despite the fact discovery has been stayed the Parties are still discussing the
24 possibility of the resolution of this matter, however based on the current restrictions,
25
26
27

28 ² <https://news3lv.com/news/local/nevada-has-highest-transmission-rate-for-coronavirus-in-the-nation-data-shows>;
<https://www.reviewjournal.com/videos/coronavirus-transmission-rate-in-nevada-is-the-highest-in-the-us/>

1 communications have continued to be delayed. These extraordinary circumstances warrant good
2 cause to extend the present stay.

3 The present stay is set to expire on July 6, 2020, as such the Parties request for
4 approximately an additional month or until August 3, 2020, in order to continue to accommodate
5 the unprecedented events occurring globally, evaluate the status of reopening, and determine the
6 best course of action, while also continuing to discuss the possibility of other resolution of the
7 matter. As such, good cause exists for the requested extension of stay.
8

9 **1. Discovery That Has Been Completed**

10 The parties have completed the following discovery:

- 11 • Plaintiffs served their first set of written discovery requests on October 29, 2019.
- 12 • Defendants served responses on December 20, 2019.
- 13 • Plaintiffs served their second set of written discovery on November 19, 2019.
- 14 • Defendants responded on January 6, 2020.
- 15 • Defendants served their first set of written discovery on January 10, 2020.
- 16 • Plaintiffs responded on February 18, 2020.
- 17 • Defendants served their second set of requests for production on February 26,
18 2020.
- 19 • Defendants noticed the depositions of Plaintiffs on February 6, 2020, and
20 amended notices of deposition on February 25, 2020, based on a meet and confer
21 of the Parties.
- 22 • Both Plaintiffs and Defendants provided their initial expert disclosures on March
23 2, 2020.
- 24
- 25
- 26

27 ///

28 ///

ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
LAWYERS
6605 GRAND MONTECITO PARKWAY
SUITE 200
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89149
(702) 384-7000

1 **2. Discovery That Remains to Be Completed**

- 2 • The following discovery by the parties remains to be completed:
- 3 • The Parties will conduct depositions of necessary parties, including the Plaintiffs,
- 4 Defendants' corporate representatives, and experts.
- 5 • The Parties will serve expert rebuttal reports, if any are determined to be
- 6 necessary.
- 7 • The Parties anticipate additional written discovery and/or depositions to be
- 8 conducted based on additional information that the Parties are discovering.
- 9

10 **3. Reasons Why Remaining Discovery Was Not Completed**

11 The discovery that remains to be complete has not been and cannot be completed within

12 the time limits set by the current discovery plan. There may be additional disputes, and/or

13 disputes to resolved regarding written discovery, deposition scheduling, travel, social distancing

14 requirements, and additional health concerns.

15

16 Prior to the stay entered by this Court, Parties were trying to coordinate depositions,

17 which was practically impossible as none of the Plaintiffs live in Las Vegas, Nevada, and some

18 live outside of the country, and there are significant travel restrictions in place. Obviously, the

19 Parties have not conducted any additional discovery since the Joint Motion for a Stay of

20 Discovery due to the fact that said Motion was granted and discovery has been stayed in this case

21 for the past 45 days. The Parties were hopeful at that time that the concerns and restrictions

22 brought on by the COVID-19 pandemic would be resolved by now, or at least that the Parties

23 would have a better idea of when the restrictions and regulations would be lifted. However, it is

24 still unclear when the restrictions that have been put in place will be resolved and, if anything,

25 the concerns and restrictions caused by the COVID-19 pandemic have only just begun to be

26 lifted, however such restrictions are still significantly impacting Defendants in this matter.

27

28

The Parties agree that an extension of the present stay is needed for the reasons discussed above and the Parties affirm that this extension is sought in good faith, and not for reasons of undue delay.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: July 1, 2020

Dated: July 1, 2020

ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS

BENDAVID LAW

By: /s/ David M. Sexton, Esq.

By: /s/ Stephanie J. Smith, Esq.

KURT R. BONDS, ESQ.

JEFFERY A. BENDAVID, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 6228

Nevada Bar No. 6220

DAVID M. SEXTON, ESQ.

STEPHANIE J. SMITH, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 14951

Nevada Bar No. 11280

6605 Grand Montecito Parkway

7301 Peak Dr., Suite 150

Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

Las Vegas, Nevada 89149

Attorneys for Defendants

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SCHEDULING ORDER

Based upon a showing of good cause, the Parties' request to extend the present stay from July 6, 2020 to August 3, 2020 is granted with the Parties to submit a proposed revised discovery schedule by the 29th day of July, 2020

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2nd day of July, 2020.


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
LAWYERS
6605 GRAND MONTECITO PARKWAY
SUITE 200
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89149
(702) 384-7000